## **Claims**

What is claimed is:

| 1   | 1. A method for assessing and managing a plurality of software applications for offshore               |
|-----|--|
| 2   | migration, comprising the steps of   |
| 3   | computing for each of said applications an application assessment score; and                           |
| 4 · | selecting a delivery model for each of said applications, said delivery model being selecte            |
| 5   | from the group consisting of an onshore model, an offshore model, and an onshore-offshore              |
| 6   | mode, said delivery model being selected as function of the application assessment score.              |
|     |  |
| 1   | 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising prior to the computing step::                             |
| 2   | calculating a business criticality rating for each of said applications;                               |
| 3   | calculating an operational criticality rating for each of said applications;                           |
| 4   | calculating an application complexity rating for each of said applications; and                        |
| 5   | calculating an application profile rating for each of said applications,                               |
| 6   | wherein the computing step comprises computing for each of said applications the                       |
| 7   | application assessment score as a weighted function of the business criticality rating, the            |
| 8   | operational criticality rating, the application complexity rating, and the application profile rating. |
|     |  |
| 1   | 3. The method of claim 2, wherein said weighted function is a linearly weighted function of the        |

- 2 business criticality rating, the operational criticality rating, the application complexity rating, and
- 3 the application profile rating.
- 4. The method of claim 2, wherein said weighted function is a non-linearly weighted function of
- 2 the business criticality rating, the operational criticality rating, the application complexity rating,
- 3 and the application profile rating.
- 5. The method of claim 2, wherein the application complexity rating is a function of at least one
- of: code complexity, data complexity, business complexity, problem complexity, and stability.
- 6. The method of claim 2, wherein the application profile rating is a function of at least one of:
- level of customization, number of concurrent users, number of software modules, number of
- 3 severity-1 reports per month, number of severity-2 reports per month, and number of major/minor
- 4 releases per month.
- 7. The method of claim 1, wherein the delivery model is selected as function of the application
- 2 assessment score and at least one delivery model override.
- 8. The method of claim 1, further comprising grouping those applications for which an offshore
- 2 model or an onshore-offshore mode has been selected by the selecting step into at least one
- 3 partition such that each partition includes at least one of said applications.

1 9. The method of claim 8, wherein the grouping is based on a business area of the applications 2 being grouped. 1 10. The method of claim 8, wherein the grouping is based on a business function, technology area, 2 or a total number of full-time equivalents of the applications being grouped. 11. The method of claim 8, further comprising: 1 2 providing a number of full-time equivalents (FTEs) for each partition; 3 assigning a first percent of said FTEs to onshore; and 4 assigning a second percent of said FTEs to onshore, wherein the sum of the first percent 5 and the second percent is about 100 percent. 1 12. The method of claim 11, wherein the first percent does not exceed about 30%. 1 13. The method of claim 8, further comprising sequencing the partitions for offshore migration. 1 14. The method of claim 13, further comprising: . 2 calculating for each of said applications a documentation score; 3 calculating for each of said partitions an average documentation score as an average over the documentation scores of the applications in each partition; 4

5

calculating for each of said partitions an average application assessment score as an

- 6 average over the application assessment scores of the applications in each partition; and
- 7 wherein said sequencing is a function of the average application assessment scores.
- 1 15. The method of claim 14, wherein said sequencing is also a function of the average
- 2 documentation scores of the partitions.
- 1 16. The method of claim 13, further comprising generating a master migration schedule which
- 2 reflects said sequencing.

17. A computer program product, comprising:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 .

1

2

8

9

a computer usable medium having a computer readable program code embodied therein for assessing and managing a plurality of software applications for offshore migration, said computer readable program code adapted to execute the steps of:

computing for each of said applications an application assessment score; and selecting a delivery model for each of said applications, said delivery model being selected from the group consisting of an onshore model, an offshore model, and an onshore-offshore mode, said delivery model being selected as function of the application assessment score.

- 18. The computer program product of claim 17, wherein the computer readable program code is embodied in a spreadsheet having calculated fields with associated calculational formulas.
- 1 19. The computer program product of claim 17, wherein the computer readable program code is 2 further adapted to execute prior to the computing step:
- calculating a business criticality rating for each of said applications;

  calculating an operational criticality rating for each of said applications;

  calculating an application complexity rating for each of said applications;

  calculating an application profile rating for each of said applications; and

  wherein the computing step comprises computing for each of said applications the

application assessment score as a weighted function of the business criticality rating, the operational criticality rating, the application complexity rating, and the application profile rating.

- 1 20. The computer program product of claim 19, wherein said weighted function is a linearly
- 2 weighted function of the business criticality rating, the operational criticality rating, the
- application complexity rating, and the application profile rating.
- 1 21. The computer program product of claim 19, wherein said weighted function is a non-linearly
- 2 weighted function of the business criticality rating, the operational criticality rating, the
- 3 application complexity rating, and the application profile rating.
- 1 22. The computer program product of claim 19, wherein the application complexity rating is a
- 2 function of at least one of: code complexity, data complexity, business complexity, problem
- 3 complexity, and stability.
- 1 23. The computer program product of claim 19, wherein the application profile rating is a function
- of at least one of: level of customization, number of concurrent users, number of software
- modules, number of severity-1 reports per month, number of severity-2 reports per month, and
- 4 number of major/minor releases per month.
- 1 24. The computer program product of claim 17, wherein the delivery model is selected as function
- of the application assessment score and at least one delivery model override.
- 1 25. The computer program product of claim 17, wherein the computer readable program code is

- 2 further adapted to execute the step of grouping those applications for which an offshore model or
- an onshore-offshore mode has been selected by the selecting step into at least one partition such
- 4 that each partition includes at least one of said applications.
- 1 26. The computer program product of claim 25, wherein the computer readable program code is
- 2 further adapted to execute the step of sequencing the partitions for offshore migration.
- 1 27. The computer program product of claim 26, wherein the computer readable program code is
- 2 further adapted to execute the step of generating a master migration schedule which reflects said
- 3 sequencing.